

Parts Committee

Chair: John Bosin Akzo-Nobel

Vice Chair: Kevin Mehok CARCARE Collision Centers



David Knapp Acoat Services Manager Akzo Nobel Coatings Inc.



Points to Cover

Repair Inefficiency Parts Replacement Inefficiency Components of an effective parts process Effects of Replacing Strategy ♦ Repair VS Replace profitability ♦ Replace Strategy Support Data Balanced severity/parts ratio



Repair Inefficiency

Labor capacity is constrained: ♦ Fewer technicians available Lower skilled techs Job for job, replacing is faster on body labor—time equals money! Replacing is more efficient with materials (no/minimal priming) Parts focus leads to efficiency as measured through gross profit per hour worked



Parts Replacement Inefficiency

- Parts that create more labor (Used)
- When estimating processes are not properly organized
- Parts that don't fit without modification
- Time needed to get parts
- Replacements that are invasive to the vehicle structure (potential for inadequate repairs)
- Replacements leading to additional labor operations/delays (R&I glass, additional refinish operations, etc.)
- Lack of effective/efficient parts procedures



Effective Parts Processes

- Estimating / Blueprinting Processes
- Written Parts Policies
- Parts Ordering Procedure
- Parts Status Verification
- Parts Receiving Process
- Invoicing / Costing Process
- ◆ Delivery of Parts to Vehicle/Technician Bay
- Parts Return Process
- Credit Memo Tracking
- Backorder Parts Follow-up
- Stock Parts / Alternative Parts Processes



Effects of <u>Replacing</u> Strategy

As parts sales increase ... Shops become more profitable Material profitability increases Labor GP \$ increase Overhead expense per parts \$ declines GP\$ per hour worked increases



Repair VS Replace Profitability

Conventional thought is that "repairing" yields a higher gross profit percentage.
Job for job, repairing can retain more profit (\$ and %)

Not true when considering time as a factor in the equation

TIME = PEOPLE!!!



Replacing Strategy = Shop Profitability Improves

Time is the key factor to consider

40		Sale		Profit	GP %
Body Labor 5	Hrs \$	150.00	\$	82.50	55%
Paint Labor 1.5	Hrs \$	45.00	\$	27.00	60%
Parts 0	\$	-	\$	-	25%
Body Material		-	\$	-	30%
Paint Material		22.50	\$	6.75	30%
Totals	\$	217.50	\$	116.25	53%
GP GP	9 % = 9 \$ = \$	53% 5 116.25			
GP\$ / Hour = \$ 17.88					

DEDVID UVGE

REPLACE CASE GP % Unit Sale Profit 2.5 Body Labor Hrs \$ 75.00 \$ 41.25 55% Paint Labor 1.5 \$ 45.00 \$ 27.00 60% Hrs 225.00 Parts \$ 225 \$\$ \$ \$ 56.25 25% Body Material 30% \$ \$ -Paint Material 22.50 30% 6.75 367.50 \$ Totals 131.25 36% **GP** % = 36% GP \$ = \$ 131.25 **GP\$ / Hour = |** \$ 32.81

Note:

Total Labor: (Indentical Task efficiency **NOt** considered in Paint shop)

Only If we achieve an **Additional** labor efficiency of :

is it better from a GP\$/ Hour in this case to repair verses replace.

Repair Case must be 283%

when replace case is 100%

183%



6

acoa

AKZO NO

sikkens

Replacing Strategy = Material Profit Improves

Material GP% TO Parts % of Sales



Material GP%



AKZONO

sikkens

acoa

Replacing Strategy = Labor Profit \$ Improves

GP\$ / Tech TO Parts % of Sales

Aug 2002- Aug 2005







Balanced Severity

As parts as a % of sales increases repairs may be faster, but severity also increases Must manage both sides of equation (repairing & replacing) Current ideal ratio is 1:1 (\$1 parts:\$1 labor) Must be coupled with solid parts procurement processes Keep in mind advancements in technology and a future impact on parts mix

Thank you for the opportunity to present!

Questions?